

APPENDIX 2

Comments Received

<u>Ref</u>	<u>Comments Received</u>	<u>Support/ Objection</u>	<u>Officer Comment</u>
1	<p>I have read the new proposal for limiting parking from 10am to 2pm which is acceptable provided there will be road markings and adequate signage to support it.</p> <p>Resident Squires Hill Close</p>	Support	<p>Noted.</p> <p>Road Markings and signing will be implemented in accordance with the Traffic Signs Regulations and General Directions.</p>
2	<p>I am happy with the proposal as long as it will be enforced?</p> <p>Still think it is a shame that the residents are being penalised when a nearby company has not provided adequate parking for its employees!</p> <p>Resident Highmead</p>	Support	<p>The implementation of the restrictions will enable targeted enforcement to be carried out if necessary.</p>
3	<p>I see no reason to include the layby in Roebuck Close in front of numbers 25 to 27 to be included in the restrictions, though I would like to see a restriction for HGV vehicles parking there as this is a regular thing (including Council contracted rubbish, re-cycling and green maintenance lorries) as they cause significant damage to the road surface.</p> <p>Resident Roebuck Close</p>	Objection	<p>The advertised proposal will be amended for the traffic order so that the two laybys affected, one in Roebuck Close and one in High Mead' can be accessible for unrestricted parking.</p>

<p>4</p>	<p>The no waiting time is quite short possibly should be extended. Please do not include the lay-bys as they are used for residents parking which is limited.</p> <p>Resident Squires Hill Close</p>	<p>Objection</p>	<p>The length of no waiting time will be sufficient to inconvenience employees from the trading estate from parking within the restricted area.</p> <p>The advertised proposal will be amended for the traffic order so that the two laybys affected, one in Roebuck Close and one in High Mead, can be accessible for unrestricted parking.</p>
<p>5</p>	<p>This proposal derives from issues with Parking in these streets by employees of Imagine Cruising as a result of inadequate facilities provided by the company based in Interface industrial Park.</p> <p>I have Several comments:</p> <p>1. The proposed restriction is limited to the lower end of Garraways and High Mead. This will simply encourage drivers to park further up both streets. The top end of High Mead is a cul de sac and already narrow in places, so parking there will cause an issue for emergency vehicles.</p> <p>2. This issue was raised with RWB Town Council in writing and at their full Council meeting of 13 Feb 2020 by a number of residents.</p> <p>3. I understood from meeting on 13/02/20 that RWBTC were to have further discussions with Imagine cruising since there appeared to be plenty of empty parking space available at the Interface industrial Park. This review of spaces took place PRIOR to any COVID lockdown.</p> <p>I can find no information on the RWBTC website to indicate the outcome of any discussions.</p> <p>Resident Email</p>	<p>Objection</p>	<p>It is understood that RWB Town Council has had discussions with Imagine Cruising but they were uncooperative.</p> <p>The proposal is an initial scheme to prevent the parking and any further issues can be reviewed once the restrictions have been in place for six months.</p>
<p>6</p>	<p>I am concerned that the effect of the amended Order will merely be to move the parking congestion problem caused by employees of companies based on the Interface Park further along the</p>	<p>Objection</p>	<p>It is understood from the Town Council that the proximity of the current parking to the trading estate is the reason why employees from Imagine Cruising park in these locations. The</p>

	<p>residential streets such as High Mead, rather than eliminating that problem. This might eventually lead to demands for a “residents only” parking scheme, messy and thus expensive to administer. Could consideration not be given to some form of “residents parking only beyond this point” signage on the residential streets, with the no waiting order applied solely to Garraways, at least initially?</p> <p>Resident High Mead</p>		<p>restrictions proposed will deter convenience parking further into the estate.</p> <p>The proposal is an initial scheme to prevent the parking and any further issues can be reviewed once the restrictions have been in place for six months.</p>
--	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------